Joint Exhibit 59



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF CHEMICAL SAFETY AND POLLUTION PREVENTION

January 6, 2022

PC Code: 078701

Digitally signed by CHRISTINA WENDEL Date: 2022.01.21 11:33:00 -05'00'

Digitally signed by Karen

Date: 2022.01.24

<u>MEMORANDUM</u> DP Barcodes: 420820, 420822, 420823, 420868, 420869, 420871, 420873,

420874, 420906, 423300, 424913, 432679, 432681, 460199, & 461050

Digitally signed by MICHAEL

WAGMAN

Date: 2022.01.24 17:28:04 -05'00'

SUBJECT: Dimethyl 2,3,5,6-tetrachloroterephthalate, (Dacthal or DCPA) and its degradate

Tetrachlorophthalic Acid (TPA): Transmittal of Data Evaluation Records (DERs)

Christma M. Wondel

for 23 Ecotoxicity Studies

FROM: Christina Wendel, Biologist

Environmental Risk Branch 2

Environmental Fate and Effect Division

THROUGH: Michael Wagman, Senior Scientist WAGMAN

Karen Milians, Ph.D., Risk Assessment Process Leader

Amy Blankinship, Branch Chief Amy Digitally signed by AMY BLANKINSHIP

Environmental Risk Branch 2

BLANKINSHIP

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Environmental rate and Enects Division

TO: James Douglass, Chemical Review Manager

Jill Bloom, Team Leader

Cathryn Britton, Branch Chief

Risk Management and Implementation Branch 5

Pesticide Re-evaluation Division

The Environmental Fate and Effects Division (EFED) has reviewed 23 ecotoxicity studies to support the Registration Review of the herbicide dimethyl 2,3,5,6-tetrachloroterephthalate, also known as dacthal and/or DCPA (PC Code 078701) and its degradate Tetrachlorophthalic Acid (TPA).

Please refer to the attached DERs for additional details. A summary of the study classifications can be found in **Table 1** and the full DERs are attached.

	?
	$\stackrel{\smile}{=}$
	=
	4
_ '	ᅄ
	Į
	11
	Ĕ
7	5
-7	
	9
•	_
7	0
- (U
3	۳
	Ξ
	=
	=
-	_
	ă
Ĺ	n
-	-
	1
-	ĭ
F	_
÷	_
-	-
	=
	۷
<	1
-	Ú
ė	É
1	T
J	ć
Ţ	ű
4	C
-	0
i	O
1	_
	0
	=
	7
	ĭ
	Ľ
4	2
	U
H	-
-	_
	≓
	=
-	2
5	٦,
-	Ļ
(J
(_
5	2
-	=
1	
The In	9
ALL I IN	Cua
AL I I'M	actual
AL INTERIOR	Jacthal
A LANGE	Dacthal
C. Laboratoria	or Dacthal IL
Children or and	TO Dactual ID
fr. Dankelin	TOP DACENAI IL
of the Designation of the	ta tor Dacthal L
of land or the land	ata tor Dacthal ID
Or the family of the line	Jata for Dacthal IL
Or the Country of the	Data for Dacthal IL
Or to Land Control of	V Data for Dacthal IL
The Party for Death of the	Ity Data for Dacthal IL
The Party for Death of the	CITY Data for Dacthal IL
The fact of the Parket I'm	XICITY Data for Dactrial (DCPA) and Tetrachiorophynalic Acid (TPA) Submitted to the Agency
The Party of the P	OXICITY Data for Dacthal (L
The Party of the P	toxicity Data for Dacthal L
The Party Con Death of the	otoxicity Data for Dacthal L
The state of the s	cotoxicity Data for Dacthal (L
The state of the S	ECOTOXICITY Data TOP Dactnal IL
franken Date for Date In	IT ECOTOXICITY Data TOP Dactnal (L
of Party of the Pa	of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal IL
of last of the Carte fact of the Carte of th	S OT ECOTOXICITY Data TO Dacthal IL
of Later Cart Cart for Date In	ns of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
of last of the Date for Date In	ons of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
The state of the s	tions of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactnal (D
The state of the s	ations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactnal (D
of last of Party in Party for Date In	dations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactnal (D
Line of Party live Date for Date In	luations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactnal (D
of tasks of Party of the Party	aluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
of laster of the contract of t	Valuations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
Production of Production Production Production	Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactnal (D
A Principle of the Control of the Co	it Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactnal (D
2) 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00 - 1-10-00	or evaluations of ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
C	v or evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
Charles of the contract of the	ry of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
Charles of the contract of the	ary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
Charles of the second s	nary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
C) - - - - - - - - -	imary of evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
C. 1-41-00 - 5 - 4-00 - 12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-1	mmary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
C) - - - - - - - - -	ummary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
	Summary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
C	Summary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
2) C	L. Summary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dacthal (D
2. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10	T. Summary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactual (D
1- 4 C	le 1. Summary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactual (D
1 1 - 4 C .	DIE 1. SUMMARY OF EVALUATIONS OF ECOTOXICITY DATA TOF DACTUAL (D
The state of the s	able 1. Summary of Evaluations of Ecotoxicity Data for Dactinal (D

Additional Data Recommended (Y/N)	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Comments	There are uncertainties due to high variability in the endpoints for the negative control (CVs up to 29% in yield and area under the curve) and potential solvent interaction (growth promotion). The study utilized the initial-measured concentrations to estimate the endpoints, although there is potential that this may overestimate actual exposure conditions.	Dissolved oxygen (DO) was <60% saturation in the controls and numerous treatment levels; mortalities were <10% in the controls and treatment groups.	None	Although there are uncertainties due to high variability in the cell density and AUC endpoints for the negative control (CVs up to 52%; CV <15% for growth rate), there were no effects at the solubility limit. The study utilized the initial-measured concentrations to estimate the endpoints, although there is potential that this may overestimate actual exposure conditions.	All endpoints were significantly affected in the highest test level, but no effects reached 50%. The study utilized the initial-measured concentrations to estimate the endpoints, although there is potential that this may overestimate actual exposure conditions.	None	None	None
CETIS Flag ^A	8	8	AT*	8	8	00	AT*	00
Study Classification	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	Acceptable	Supplemental, results for the growth rate may be used to calculate risk quotients, while the results for the yield and area under the curve may be used for risk characterization.	Supplemental, and may be used to calculate risk quotients	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable
Study Type	Acute Toxicity of DCPA Technical to Marine Diatom, (Skeletonema costatum)	96-hour Static Acute Toxicity of DCPA Technical to the Saltwater Mysid (Americamysis bahia)	Terrestrial Plant Toxicity of DCPA formulation, Vegetative Vigor of Ten Species of Plants	Acute Toxicity of DCPA Technical to Freshwater Blue- Green Alga, (Anabaena flos-aquae)	Acute Toxicity of DCPA Technical to Freshwater Diatom, (<i>Navicula pelliculosa</i>)	Acute Toxicity of DCPA Technical to Aquatic Vascular Plants, (<i>Lemna gibba</i>)	Chronic Toxicity DCPA Technical to the Water Flea, (Daphnia magna)	96-hour Static-Renewal Acute Toxicity of DCPA Technical to the Sheepshead Minnow, (Cyprinodon variegatus)
OCSPP Guideline	850.4500	850.1035	850.4150	850.4550	850.4500	850.4400	850.1300	850.1075
MRID	49307504	49307505	49307506	49307507	49307508	49307509	49307510	49307511

Additional Data Recommended (Y/N)	>	y Y, for lettuce (only).		z	z
Comments	A definitive NOAEC could not be established in the study as doseresponsive effects on male weight and length were observed at all doses. Additional data that includes lower concentrations is recommended to reach a definitive NOAEC value. It is also recommended that any new test consider using a different solvent, as there may be an interaction between the test substance and the solvent. There was a statistically significant promotion in the solvent control, compared to the negative control in the number of offspring per surviving female endpoint (-159% \uparrow ; p= 0.04).	For ryegrass, the study is considered supplemental and may be used to calculate risk quotients (for endpoints with survival as most sensitive endpoint) and for lettuce, the study is considered supplemental and may be used for risk characterization only. Additional data is recommended for lettuce (only) as registered application rates result in higher estimated exposure concentrations than the concentrations tested in this study. For lettuce: 1) Survival does not meet the OCSPP 850.4100 test validity element of at least 90% survival at test termination (73%, based on number planted) in the negative control; 2) there was high variability in the study; and 3) lack of dose-response, even with inhibitions >25% observed in some concentrations during the test, and the IC25 is considered above the highest test concentration for all lettuce endpoints. See DER for additional details.	None	None	None
CETIS Flag ^A	AT*	AT*	00	00	00
Study Classification	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	Acceptable for all species <u>except</u> ryegrass and lettuce.	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable
Study Type	Chronic Toxicity DCPA Technical to the Saltwater Mysid, (A. bahia)	Terrestrial Plant Toxicity of DCPA formulation, Seedling Emergence of Ten Species of Plants	48-hour Static Acute Toxicity of DCPA Technical to the Water Flea, (<i>D. magna</i>)	96-hour Static Acute Toxicity of TPA, metabolite of DCPA to the Rainbow Trout, (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	48-hour Static Acute Toxicity of TPA, metabolite of DCPA to the Water Flea, (D. magna)
OCSPP Guideline	850.1300	850.4100	850.1010	850.1075	850.1010
MRID	49307512	49307513	49307514	49307518	49307519

Additional Data Recommended (Y/N)	**	Z	z	>	z	z
Comments	There were no apparent treatment-related effects on food consumption or on body weight at any dosage level tested. However, per OCSPP 850.2100 guideline, the study should have tested up to the maximum expected environmental concentration on food items. The estimated residues based on current registered products are >2x levels where no effects were observed for any avian species (e.g., zebra finch and bobwhite quail) that has been tested (2000 mg/kg-bw), resulting in uncertainty as to whether lethal effects could still occur at expected concentrations.	Dissolved oxygen (DO) was Month-left saturation in the controls and numerous treatment levels. Growth results were highly variable (using the standard deviations, the confidence intervals for the mean individual measurements for all test concentrations would overlap), and only visual comparisons of toxicity could be made due to the lack of replication.	None	It was determined that there was a potential solvent interaction, as noted by the significant differences that were observed between the solvent and negative control for several endpoints. It was determined that the statistically significant inhibitions observed for treatments compared to the negative control were likely the effect of the solvent and not actually an effect of the test substance. See DER for additional details.	None	Individual species are classified as follows: Acceptable for all species except soybean, sugarbeet, onion, sunflower, and ryegrass. For soybean, sugarbeet, onion and sunflower, the study is considered supplemental and may be used to calculate risk quotients (for endpoints with survival as most sensitive endpoint) and for ryegrass, the study is considered supplemental and may be used for risk characterization only. See DER for additional details.
CETIS Flag ^A	8	AT	AT*	AT*	00	8
Study Classification	Supplemental, and may be used to calculate risk quotients	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	Acceptable	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	Acceptable	Supplemental overall
Study Type	Acute Oral Toxicity of DCPA Technical to the Zebra Finch, (Taeniopygia guttata)	96-hour Flow-through Acute Toxicity Test with the Eastern Oyster, (<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>)	Chronic (42-day) Sediment Toxicity of DCPA Technical to Freshwater Amphipods, (Hyalella azteca)	Chronic (60-day) Sediment Toxicity of DCPA Technical to Freshwater Midges, (Chironomus dilutus)	Chronic Toxicity of TPA, metabolite of DCPA to the Water Flea, (<i>D. magna</i>)	Terrestrial Plant Toxicity of TPA, metabolite of DCPA, Seedling Emergence of Ten Species of Plants
OCSPP Guideline	850.2100	850.1025	Non- guideline	Non- guideline	850.1300	850.4100
MRID	49477601	49500701	49865801	49865802	51235101	51235102

Additional Data Recommended (Y/N)	Z	z z		z	
Comments	Solubility and stability concerns were present in this study. The analytical measurements indicate that test organisms in the two lowest concentrations may not have been exposed to any bioavailable DCPA during part of the study, and the third concentration is the LOAEC (13 µg/L) which exhibited reproductive effects and also had analytical recovery issues below the Level of Detection (LOD) during part of the study and no Level of Quantification (LOQ) was reported. Therefore, a NOAEC could not be determined, and there is considerable uncertainty as to the actual doses the test organisms were exposed to for the three lowest test concentrations (including the LOAEC). Additional data is not requested at this time, as an acceptable chronic daphnid study (MRID 49307510; see above) has been submitted. EFED notes that study has a valid NOAEC as well as a LOAEC (270 ug/L) that is an order of magnitude higher than this study. Therefore, EFED will estimate risk using endpoints from MRID 49307510, but may characterize potential risks as being greater given the data in MRID 51398104.	Test material was both unstable and insoluble in all treatment levels. No mortality was observed in the control or any treatment group.	All endpoints in this study were significantly affected in the two highest test levels. Issues with pH across the treatment groups resulted in reduced confidence in results.	Issues with pH and solubility (poor chemical recovery) resulted in reduced confidence in test results.	
CETIS Flag ^A	8	8	8	8	
Study Classification	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	Supplemental, may be used for risk characterization	
Study Type	Chronic Toxicity of DCPA Technical to the Water Flea, (D. magna)	96-hour Static Acute Toxicity of Dacthal W-75 (formulation of DCPA) to the Rainbow Trout, (O. mykiss)	Acute Toxicity of TPA, metabolite of DCPA to Freshwater Green Algae, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata (formerly Selenastrum capricornutum)	Acute Toxicity of Dacthal W-75 (formulation of DCPA) to Freshwater Green Algae, P. subcapitata (formerly S. capricornutum)	
OCSPP Guideline	850.1300	850.1075	850.4500	850.4500	
MRID	51398104	51398105	51499401	51499402	

TGAI=Technical Grade Active Ingredient; TEP= typical end-use product

A CETIS flags include the following codes followed by the number of CETIS records (i.e., test codes) for each flag and the total number of potential CETIS records for the study (e.g., "AT (2 of 3)"):

"CO" – the contractor's CETIS records were not altered.

"AT" - a CETIS database file is attached to the logout email because the contractor's CETIS records were updated or new CETIS records were created. "*" - Indicates for "CO" and "AT" flags that at least one regulatory endpoint in the DER differs from that concluded in the CETIS statistical output.

accordance with the OCSPP guideline; therefore, testing may need to switch to the dietary-based test paradigm. EFED recommends the registrant consult with the Agency prior ** A dose-based study may not be feasible due to the high dose levels that need to be tested, up to 5045 mg/kg-bw (calculated Upper-bound Kenaga Maximum EEC), in to initiating dietary-based testing of a passerine species.